

Finite CSA groups and generalizations

Mohammad Shahryari, Omar Al-Raisi

17th International Conference and Summer School on Model Theory and Universal Algebra

June 2025

A subgroup H in group G is called malnormal iff for all $x \in G \setminus H$, we have $H \cap H^x = 1$.

A subgroup H in group G is called malnormal iff for all $x \in G \setminus H$, we have $H \cap H^x = 1$.

A group G is called CSA (conjugate separable abelian), if every maximal abelian subgroup of G is malnormal.

CSA Groups

A subgroup H in group G is called malnormal iff for all $x \in G \setminus H$, we have $H \cap H^x = 1$.

A group G is called CSA (conjugate separable abelian), if every maximal abelian subgroup of G is malnormal.

The class of CSA groups is quite wide, for example

A subgroup H in group G is called malnormal iff for all $x \in G \setminus H$, we have $H \cap H^x = 1$.

A group G is called CSA (conjugate separable abelian), if every maximal abelian subgroup of G is malnormal.

The class of CSA groups is quite wide, for example

- 1 every fully residually free group,
- 2 every ultra-power of a CSA,
- 3 free product of two CSA groups without involutions.

They have very serious roles in the study of residually free groups, universal theory of non-abelian free groups, limit groups, exponential groups and equational domains in algebraic geometry over groups.

CT groups

A group is CT if commutativity is a transitive relation on the set of its non-identity elements.

CT groups

A group is CT if commutativity is a transitive relation on the set of its non-identity elements.

Despite this simple definition, the class of CT groups has also a crucial role in the study of residually free groups and so it has a close connection with CSA groups.

A group is CT if commutativity is a transitive relation on the set of its non-identity elements.

Despite this simple definition, the class of CT groups has also a crucial role in the study of residually free groups and so it has a close connection with CSA groups.

Every CSA group is CT but the converse is not true. In the presence of residual freeness, both properties are equivalent, a theorem which has been proved by B. Baumslag:

Residually free groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. **17**(3), 1967.

Relations between two classes

- 1 Every CSA is CT.

Relations between two classes

- 1 Every CSA is CT.
- 2 If G is CT, then Fine et al proved that G is not CSA iff G contains a non-abelian subgroup G_0 which contains a nontrivial abelian subgroup H that is normal in G_0 .

Relations between two classes

- 1 Every CSA is CT.
- 2 If G is CT, then Fine et al proved that G is not CSA iff G contains a non-abelian subgroup G_0 which contains a nontrivial abelian subgroup H that is normal in G_0 .
- 3 For K a field, the group $PSL_2(K)$ is never CSA but is CT if $\text{char}(K) = 2$ or $\text{char}(K) = 0$ and -1 is not the sum of two squares.

Benjamin Fine et al: *On CT and CSA groups and related ideas*. J. Group Theory, 2016, **19**.

- ① CSA and CT are universal first order properties, and hence these classes are inductive and subgroup-closed.

- ① CSA and CT are universal first order properties, and hence these classes are inductive and subgroup-closed.
- ② If a non-abelian group G is residually free, then the following are equivalent:
 - G is fully residually free.
 - G is CT.
 - G is CSA.
 - G is universally free.

Generalization

It seems that the idea of CT and CSA groups is a small part of a very general concept.

It seems that the idea of CT and CSA groups is a small part of a very general concept. The general theory is described in

M. Shahryari: *On conjugate separability of nilpotent groups*. J. Group Theory, 2024, **23**.

Suppose \mathfrak{X} is a variety of groups. A group G can be called \mathfrak{X} T then, iff for any two \mathfrak{X} -subgroups $K_1, K_2 \leq G$ the assumption $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq 1$ implies that $\langle K_1, K_2 \rangle$ is also an \mathfrak{X} -group.

It seems that the idea of CT and CSA groups is a small part of a very general concept. The general theory is described in

M. Shahryari: *On conjugate separability of nilpotent groups*. J. Group Theory, 2024, **23**.

Suppose \mathfrak{X} is a variety of groups. A group G can be called $\mathfrak{X}T$ then, iff for any two \mathfrak{X} -subgroups $K_1, K_2 \leq G$ the assumption $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq 1$ implies that $\langle K_1, K_2 \rangle$ is also an \mathfrak{X} -group.

Similarly, we call a group G a $CS\mathfrak{X}$ group if all of its maximal \mathfrak{X} -subgroups are malnormal.

Example: NT_k and CSN_k groups

We call a group NT_k (nilpotency transitive of class k) if for any two \mathfrak{N}_k -subgroups K_1 and K_2 , the assumption $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq 1$ implies that $\langle K_1, K_2 \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most k .

Example: NT_k and CSN_k groups

We call a group NT_k (nilpotency transitive of class k) if for any two \mathfrak{N}_k -subgroups K_1 and K_2 , the assumption $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq 1$ implies that $\langle K_1, K_2 \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most k .

Also a group G is CSN_k (conjugately separated nilpotent of class k) if and only if every maximal \mathfrak{N}_k -subgroup of G is malnormal.

Example: NT_k and CSN_k groups

We call a group NT_k (nilpotency transitive of class k) if for any two \mathfrak{N}_k -subgroups K_1 and K_2 , the assumption $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq 1$ implies that $\langle K_1, K_2 \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most k .

Also a group G is CSN_k (conjugately separated nilpotent of class k) if and only if every maximal \mathfrak{N}_k -subgroup of G is malnormal.

The case $k = 1$ obviously coincides with the ordinary CT and CSA groups. It is also easy to see that the property CSA implies CSN_k .

- 1 Every CSN_k group is NT_k .

Basic properties

- 1 Every CSN_k group is NT_k .
- 2 Both classes are closed under taking subgroups.

Basic properties

- 1 Every CSN_k group is NT_k .
- 2 Both classes are closed under taking subgroups.
- 3 Both classes can be axiomatize by universal first order sentences.

Basic properties

- 1 Every CSN_k group is NT_k .
- 2 Both classes are closed under taking subgroups.
- 3 Both classes can be axiomatize by universal first order sentences.
- 4 Both classes are closed under taking ultra-products.

Basic properties

- 1 Every CSN_k group is NT_k .
- 2 Both classes are closed under taking subgroups.
- 3 Both classes can be axiomatize by universal first order sentences.
- 4 Both classes are closed under taking ultra-products.
- 5 Suppose A and B are NT_k . Then the free product $G = A * B$ is also CSN_k .

Basic properties

- 1 Every CSN_k group is NT_k .
- 2 Both classes are closed under taking subgroups.
- 3 Both classes can be axiomatize by universal first order sentences.
- 4 Both classes are closed under taking ultra-products.
- 5 Suppose A and B are NT_k . Then the free product $G = A * B$ is also CSN_k .
- 6 Suppose A and B are CSN_k groups without elements of order 2. Then the free product $G = A * B$ is also CSN_k .

Basic properties

- 1 Every CSN_k group is NT_k .
- 2 Both classes are closed under taking subgroups.
- 3 Both classes can be axiomatize by universal first order sentences.
- 4 Both classes are closed under taking ultra-products.
- 5 Suppose A and B are NT_k . Then the free product $G = A * B$ is also CSN_k .
- 6 Suppose A and B are CSN_k groups without elements of order 2. Then the free product $G = A * B$ is also CSN_k .
- 7 Every finite CSN_k group is nilpotent of class at most k .

Finite CT groups are already classified (by Suzuki 1957 and Yu-Fen Wu 1998).

Finite CSA groups

Finite CT groups are already classified (by Suzuki 1957 and Yu-Fen Wu 1998).

It is well-known that every finite CSA group is abelian.

Finite CT groups are already classified (by Suzuki 1957 and Yu-Fen Wu 1998).

It is well-known that every finite CSA group is abelian. The known proofs usually employ representation theory of finite groups or classification of finite simple groups.

Finite CT groups are already classified (by Suzuki 1957 and Yu-Fen Wu 1998).

It is well-known that every finite CSA group is abelian. The known proofs usually employ representation theory of finite groups or classification of finite simple groups. We present an elementary proof for this fact, and then the main idea of this elementary proof will be applied for a wide class of CS \mathfrak{X} groups.

Finite CSX groups in general

Before presenting our elementary proof, we need to emphasize on the fact:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups which contains all cyclic groups. Then every finite CS \mathfrak{X} group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

Proof. The proof of this general fact is not elementary.

Finite CSX groups in general

Before presenting our elementary proof, we need to emphasize on the fact:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups which contains all cyclic groups. Then every finite CS \mathfrak{X} group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

Proof. The proof of this general fact is not elementary. In fact we use a known result on finite Frobenius groups which says that the Frobenius kernel is unique and every two Frobenius complements are conjugate.

Finite CSX groups in general

Before presenting our elementary proof, we need to emphasize on the fact:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups which contains all cyclic groups. Then every finite CS \mathfrak{X} group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

Proof. The proof of this general fact is not elementary. In fact we use a known result on finite Frobenius groups which says that the Frobenius kernel is unique and every two Frobenius complements are conjugate. Let G be a finite CS \mathfrak{X} group but not an element of \mathfrak{X} . Let A be a maximal \mathfrak{X} subgroup of G .

Finite CSX groups in general

Before presenting our elementary proof, we need to emphasize on the fact:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups which contains all cyclic groups. Then every finite CS \mathfrak{X} group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

Proof. The proof of this general fact is not elementary. In fact we use a known result on finite Frobenius groups which says that the Frobenius kernel is unique and every two Frobenius complements are conjugate. Let G be a finite CS \mathfrak{X} group but not an element of \mathfrak{X} . Let A be a maximal \mathfrak{X} subgroup of G . Then A is a Frobenius complement and hence every other Frobenius complement is a conjugate of A .

Finite CSX groups in general

Before presenting our elementary proof, we need to emphasize on the fact:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups which contains all cyclic groups. Then every finite CS \mathfrak{X} group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

Proof. The proof of this general fact is not elementary. In fact we use a known result on finite Frobenius groups which says that the Frobenius kernel is unique and every two Frobenius complements are conjugate. Let G be a finite CS \mathfrak{X} group but not an element of \mathfrak{X} . Let A be a maximal \mathfrak{X} subgroup of G . Then A is a Frobenius complement and hence every other Frobenius complement is a conjugate of A . Now let $g \in G$ be an arbitrary element. Then $\langle g \rangle \subseteq B$ for some maximal \mathfrak{X} -subgroup B .

Finite CSX groups in general

Before presenting our elementary proof, we need to emphasize on the fact:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups which contains all cyclic groups. Then every finite CS \mathfrak{X} group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

Proof. The proof of this general fact is not elementary. In fact we use a known result on finite Frobenius groups which says that the Frobenius kernel is unique and every two Frobenius complements are conjugate. Let G be a finite CS \mathfrak{X} group but not an element of \mathfrak{X} . Let A be a maximal \mathfrak{X} subgroup of G . Then A is a Frobenius complement and hence every other Frobenius complement is a conjugate of A . Now let $g \in G$ be an arbitrary element. Then $\langle g \rangle \subseteq B$ for some maximal \mathfrak{X} -subgroup B . But $B = A^x$ for some x , so

$$G = \bigcup_x A^x.$$

This shows that $A = G$, a contradiction.

The proof for the case of finite CSA

But for some varieties \mathfrak{X} there is an elementary proof for the previous theorem:

The proof for the case of finite CSA

But for some varieties \mathfrak{X} there is an elementary proof for the previous theorem: for example, if \mathfrak{X} is the variety of abelian groups, i.e. the case of CSA groups.

Theorem

Every finite CSA group is abelian.

Proof. In order to give an elementary proof of this statement, we need the following basic facts about CSA groups:

The proof for the case of finite CSA

But for some varieties \mathfrak{X} there is an elementary proof for the previous theorem: for example, if \mathfrak{X} is the variety of abelian groups, i.e. the case of CSA groups.

Theorem

Every finite CSA group is abelian.

Proof. In order to give an elementary proof of this statement, we need the following basic facts about CSA groups:

1- Every CSA group is CT.

The proof for the case of finite CSA

But for some varieties \mathfrak{X} there is an elementary proof for the previous theorem: for example, if \mathfrak{X} is the variety of abelian groups, i.e. the case of CSA groups.

Theorem

Every finite CSA group is abelian.

Proof. In order to give an elementary proof of this statement, we need the following basic facts about CSA groups:

- 1- Every CSA group is CT.
- 2- G is CSA iff for all non-identity $x \in G$, the centralizer $C_G(x)$ is a maximal abelian malnormal subgroup.

The proof for the case of finite CSA

But for some varieties \mathfrak{X} there is an elementary proof for the previous theorem: for example, if \mathfrak{X} is the variety of abelian groups, i.e. the case of CSA groups.

Theorem

Every finite CSA group is abelian.

Proof. In order to give an elementary proof of this statement, we need the following basic facts about CSA groups:

- 1- Every CSA group is CT.
- 2- G is CSA iff for all non-identity $x \in G$, the centralizer $C_G(x)$ is a maximal abelian malnormal subgroup.
- 3- If G is CSA, then every maximal abelian subgroup of G has the form $C_G(x)$ for some non-identity x .

The proof for the case of finite CSA

But for some varieties \mathfrak{X} there is an elementary proof for the previous theorem: for example, if \mathfrak{X} is the variety of abelian groups, i.e. the case of CSA groups.

Theorem

Every finite CSA group is abelian.

Proof. In order to give an elementary proof of this statement, we need the following basic facts about CSA groups:

- 1- Every CSA group is CT.
- 2- G is CSA iff for all non-identity $x \in G$, the centralizer $C_G(x)$ is a maximal abelian malnormal subgroup.
- 3- If G is CSA, then every maximal abelian subgroup of G has the form $C_G(x)$ for some non-identity x .
- 4- If G is CSA then $A \cap B = 1$ for all distinct maximal abelian subgroups A and B .

The proof for the case of finite CSA

But for some varieties \mathfrak{X} there is an elementary proof for the previous theorem: for example, if \mathfrak{X} is the variety of abelian groups, i.e. the case of CSA groups.

Theorem

Every finite CSA group is abelian.

Proof. In order to give an elementary proof of this statement, we need the following basic facts about CSA groups:

- 1- Every CSA group is CT.
- 2- G is CSA iff for all non-identity $x \in G$, the centralizer $C_G(x)$ is a maximal abelian malnormal subgroup.
- 3- If G is CSA, then every maximal abelian subgroup of G has the form $C_G(x)$ for some non-identity x .
- 4- If G is CSA then $A \cap B = 1$ for all distinct maximal abelian subgroups A and B .
- 5- If A is a malnormal subgroup, then $N_G(A) = A$.

The proof ...

Now, suppose G is a finite CSA group but not abelian. Let A_1 be a maximal abelian subgroup, with distinct conjugates

$$A_1^{x_{1,1}}, A_1^{x_{1,2}}, A_1^{x_{1,3}}, \dots$$

Then all of these subgroups are maximal abelian and so their intersection is 1.

The proof ...

Now, suppose G is a finite CSA group but not abelian. Let A_1 be a maximal abelian subgroup, with distinct conjugates

$$A_1^{x_{1,1}}, A_1^{x_{1,2}}, A_1^{x_{1,3}}, \dots$$

Then all of these subgroups are maximal abelian and so their intersection is 1. Suppose

$$G \neq \bigcup_j A_1^{x_{1,j}}.$$

Then there is another maximal abelian subgroup A_2 , such that $A_2 \cap A_1^{x_{1,j}} = 1$, for all j .

The proof ...

Let

$$A_2^{x_{2,1}}, A_2^{x_{2,2}}, A_2^{x_{2,3}}, \dots$$

be the set of all distinct conjugates of A_2 .

The proof ...

Let

$$A_2^{x_{2,1}}, A_2^{x_{2,2}}, A_2^{x_{2,3}}, \dots$$

be the set of all distinct conjugates of A_2 . It is easy to see that all subgroups $A_1^{x_{1,j}}$ and $A_2^{x_{2,r}}$ have pairwise trivial intersection.

The proof ...

Let

$$A_2^{x_{2,1}}, A_2^{x_{2,2}}, A_2^{x_{2,3}}, \dots$$

be the set of all distinct conjugates of A_2 . It is easy to see that all subgroups $A_1^{x_{1,j}}$ and $A_2^{x_{2,r}}$ have pairwise trivial intersection.

Hence the union

$$\left(\bigcup_j A_1^{x_{1,j}} \setminus 1 \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_r A_2^{x_{2,r}} \setminus 1 \right)$$

is disjoint.

The proof ...

Continue this way! At the end there will be a number n , maximal abelian subgroups

$$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n,$$

and elements x_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k_i$), such that the union

$$G \setminus 1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_i} (A_i^{x_{ij}} \setminus 1)$$

is disjoint.

The proof ...

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G| &= \sum_{i=1}^n [G : N_G(A_i)](|A_i| - 1) + 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [G : A_i](|A_i| - 1) + 1 \\ &= n|G| - \sum_{i=1}^n [G : A_i] + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [G : A_i] = (n-1)|G| + 1.$$

The proof ...

Now, we know that each $A_i = C_G(a_i)$, for some $a_i \in A_i$.

The proof ...

Now, we know that each $A_i = C_G(a_i)$, for some $a_i \in A_i$. Hence

$$[G : A_i] = |\text{Cl}_G(a_i)|,$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\text{Cl}_G(a_i)| = (n-1)|G| + 1.$$

The proof ...

Now, we know that each $A_i = C_G(a_i)$, for some $a_i \in A_i$. Hence

$$[G : A_i] = |\text{Cl}_G(a_i)|,$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\text{Cl}_G(a_i)| = (n-1)|G| + 1.$$

Note that if $i \neq j$, then a_i is not conjugate to a_j (otherwise $A_i \cap A_j \neq 1$).

The proof ...

Now, using the class equation, we have

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\text{Cl}_G(a_i)| + \cdots = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n |\text{Cl}_G(a_i)| + \cdots$$

The proof ...

Now, using the class equation, we have

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\text{Cl}_G(a_i)| + \cdots = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n |\text{Cl}_G(a_i)| + \cdots$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\text{Cl}_G(a_i)| \leq |G| - 1,$$

and hence

$$(n - 1)|G| + 1 \leq |G| - 1$$

which implies that $n = 1$.

So $G = \bigcup_j A_1^{x_{1,j}}$, and hence $G = A_1$. This completes the proof.

So $G = \bigcup_j A_1^{x_{1,j}}$, and hence $G = A_1$. This completes the proof.

Note. With some modifications, we can use the same proof for more general cases.

The proof ...

So $G = \bigcup_j A_1^{x_{1,j}}$, and hence $G = A_1$. This completes the proof.

Note. With some modifications, we can use the same proof for more general cases. For example, we can show by the same argument:

Theorem

Every finite CSN_k group is nilpotent of class k .

More varieties admitting the same elementary proof

The same idea can be used to the following case:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a variety which contains all abelian groups and suppose $CS\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}T$. Then every finite $CS\mathfrak{X}$ group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

More varieties admitting the same elementary proof

The same idea can be used to the following case:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a variety which contains all abelian groups and suppose $CS\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}T$. Then every finite $CS\mathfrak{X}$ group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

There are many examples of such varieties:

More varieties admitting the same elementary proof

The same idea can be used to the following case:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a variety which contains all abelian groups and suppose $CS\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}T$. Then every finite $CS\mathfrak{X}$ group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

There are many examples of such varieties:

Example. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{V}_q \cap \mathfrak{B}_n$, such that

$$\mathfrak{V}_q = \{G : G^q \subseteq Z(G)\}$$

and \mathfrak{B}_n is the Burnside variety.

More varieties admitting the same elementary proof

The same idea can be used to the following case:

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{X} be a variety which contains all abelian groups and suppose $CS\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}T$. Then every finite $CS\mathfrak{X}$ group belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

There are many examples of such varieties:

Example. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{V}_q \cap \mathfrak{B}_n$, such that

$$\mathfrak{V}_q = \{G : G^q \subseteq Z(G)\}$$

and \mathfrak{B}_n is the Burnside variety. Let $\gcd(n, q) = 1$. Then \mathfrak{X} satisfies the requirements of the above theorem.

Thank you.